

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/772,756	FOX ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Tara L. Mayo	3671	

**All Participants:**

(1) Tara L. Mayo.

(2) Jon O. Nelson.
**Status of Application: Finally Rejected**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 July 2005
**Time:** 16:10
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*Proposed art rejections and potential 112, first paragraph*
**Claims discussed:**
*1, 2 and 12*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*See Attached PTO-892*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Applicant's representative agreed to the changes on the attached Examiner's Amendment to further define the claimed invention over the prior art of record and to obviate a rejection under 35 USC §112, first paragraph.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

4